

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

The

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/690,686	10/22/2003	Rama K.T. Akkiraju	GB920030072US1	6118	
48915 CANTOR CO	48915 7590 10/19/2007 CANTOR COLBURN LLP-IBM YORKTOWN			EXAMINER	
55 GRIFFIN R	OAD SOUTH	RIOWN	WINTER,	WINTER, JOHN M	
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621		
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	10/690,686	AKKIRAJU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John M. Winter	3621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	<u>ıly 2007</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,8,9,11,12,15,16,18,19,22,23,25 and 26</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,8,9,11,12,15,16,18,19,22,23,2</u>	5 and 26 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	alaction requirement				
are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f)			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	PTO 412)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application			

Art Unit: 3621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Acknowledgements

The Applicant's amendment filed on April 10, 2007 is acknowledged, Claims 1-2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11-12, 15-16, 18-19, 22-23, 25 and 26 remain pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11-12, 15-16, 18-19, 22-23, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nykanen (US Patent 7,155,425) in view of Fletcher et al. (US Patent 6,985,939).

As per claim 1

Nykanen ('425) discloses a data processing method for a UDDI registry to enable location of details of services which match service requester requirements, the method of the UDDI registry comprising the steps:

receiving a standard UDDI request to locate service details, the request comprising details of a tModel which defines service requirements specified in a particular language; (Column 7, line 45—column 8 line 42)

Art Unit: 3621

locating details of at least one service, the details comprising a tModel which defines service capabilities specified in the particular language; (Column 7, line 45—column 8 line 42)

Nykanen ('425) does not explicitly disclose selecting from a plurality of external matching services an external matching service which is capable of comparing the service requirements and service capabilities, wherein each external matching service is accessed through an interface defined in an interface tModel; and using the external matching service to filter the located details to find those with indicated service capabilities which match the service requirements.. Fletcher et al. ('939) discloses selecting from a plurality of external matching services an external matching service which is capable of comparing the service requirements and service capabilities, wherein each external matching service is accessed through an interface defined in an interface tModel; and using the external matching service to filter the located details to find those with indicated service capabilities which match the service requirements. (Column 7, lines 15-54) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Nykanen ('425) method with the Fletcher et al. ('939)method in order to optimize the content of a web portal; furthermore the combination of these elements does not alter their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention..

Nykanen ('425) does not explicitly disclose receiving a request to register a new external matching engine wherein the matching engine implements the interface defined in the interface tModel; wherein the plurality of external matching services includes the new matching engine.

Fletcher et al. ('939) discloses receiving a request to register a new external matching engine wherein the matching engine implements the interface defined in the interface tModel; wherein

Art Unit: 3621

the plurality of external matching services includes the new matching engine.

. (Column 10, lines 39-60) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

Page 4

the invention was made to combine the Nykanen ('425) method with the Fletcher et al.

('939)method in order to optimize the content of a web portal; furthermore the combination of

these elements does not alter their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded

predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention..

Claims 8,15 and 22 are in parallel with claim 1 and are rejected for at least the same

reasons

As per claim 2

Nykanen ('425)) discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the standard UDDI request further

comprises service requirements specified in a standard UDDI category, the method comprising

the further step of:

finding details of at least one service, the details defining service capabilities which

match the service requirements specified in a standard UDDI category; wherein the locating step

locates details of at least one service from those found by the finding step.(Column 7, line 45—

column 8 line 42)

Claims 9,16 and 23 are in parallel with claim 2 and are rejected for at least the same

reasons

As per claim 4

Art Unit: 3621

Nykănen ('425)) discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the standard UDDI request is a find tModel request (Figure 4B)

Claims 11, 18 and 25 are in parallel with claim 4 and are rejected for at least the same reasons

As per claim 5

Nykănen ('425)) discloses the method of claim 1

Official Notice is taken that "the particular language is one of DAML-S, UML, and WSDL." is common and well known in prior art in reference to object modeling. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize an object modeling protocol in order to model objects

Claims 12, 19 and 26 are in parallel with claim 5 and are rejected for at least the same reasons

### Response to Arguments

The Applicants arguments filed on April 10, 2007 have been fully considered.

The amended claims are rejected in reconsideration of Nykanen (US Patent 7,155,425) in view of Fletcher et al. (US Patent 6,985,939).

### Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the

Art Unit: 3621

specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Winter whose telephone number is (571) 272-6713. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached on (571) 272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

3621.

ucher 10/15/07

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600